Case: 14-40665 Document: 00513083767 Page: 1 Date Filed: 06/18/2015

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-40665 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

> **FILED** June 18, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

LARRY TYRONE ADAMS,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:12-CR-16-8

Before REAVLEY, DENNIS, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Larry Tyrone Adams has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Adams has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review.

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 14-40665

Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

The record reflects a clerical error in the written judgment in that the judgment indicates that Adams was convicted of the offense of conspiring to "Possess with the Intent to Manufacture and Distribute" methamphetamine, whereas Adams pleaded guilty to conspiring to possess with the intent to distribute methamphetamine, as charged in the Second Superseding Indictment. Accordingly, we REMAND for the limited purpose of correction of the clerical error in the written judgment in accordance with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 36. See United States v. Higgins, 739 F.3d 733, 739 n.16 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 134 S. Ct. 2319 (2014); United States v. Rosales, 448 F. App'x 466, 466-67 (5th Cir. 2011).